UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,118	08/06/2003	Deborah Jean Hinten		7252
	7590 03/24/200 ean Hinten M.S.	8	EXAM	IINER
5701 Leetonia Road Leetonia, OH 44431			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
Lectonia, OH 4	4431		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/662,118	HINTEN, DEBORAH JEAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marie Patterson	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	· <b></b>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the meri	ts is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nem censideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			` ,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	€			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,118 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

In claim 1 the phrase "the built in insoles" lacks proper antecedent basis rendering the claim vague and indefinite. It is not clear if applicant is claiming solely two sole layers or if applicant intends to positively recite and claim the combination of a shoe with an outsole and insole with a two layer sole.

In claim 2 the phrase "removable insoles or have enough room..." and "preferably tie skateboard shoes...or lfat sturdy shoes..." are alternative, indefinite, vague, and it is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language.

Claims 3-5 are functional, indefinite, and confusing as to what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language. These claims do no recite any structural limitations.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,118 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyde (183675) in view of Ghez (2370303) or Moore (4773170).

Hyde shows an invention comprising a sole wood layer (A), fastened to an insole (D) and an outsole (C) substantially as claimed except for a rubber layer below the wood layer. Ghez or Moore teaches providing a rubber layer (18 or 36) on the bottom of a wood layer (15 or 14). It would have been obvious to provide a rubber layer below the wood layer as taught by either Ghez or Moore in the invention of Hyde to provide traction and to increase the durability of the shoe.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571)273-8300</u> **(FORMAL FAXES ONLY)**. Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,118

Art Unit: 3728

/Marie Patterson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Page 4